

Pergamon Tetrahedron Letters 42 (2001) 3447–3450

TETRAHEDRON LETTERS

Novel [60]fullerene–TTF cyclohexene fused polyadducts: unprecedented tri- and tetra-Diels–Alder adducts of d imethylidene[2*H*]tetrathiafulvalenes with C_{60} [†]

David Kreher,^a Sheng-Gao Liu,^a Michel Cariou,^a Piétrick Hudhomme,^{a,*} Alain Gorgues,^{a,*} Marta Mas,^b Jaume Veciana^b and Concepció Rovira^b

a *Laboratoire d*'*Inge´nierie Mole´culaire et Mate´riaux Organiques*, *UMR CNRS* 6501, *Universite´ d*'*Angers*, ² *Bd Lavoisier*, 49045 *Angers Cedex*, *France*

b *Institut de Cie`ncia de Materials de Barcelona* (*CSIC*), *Campus de la UAB*, 08193 *Bellaterra*, *Spain*

Received 23 March 2001

Abstract—The title compounds, which are formed in very low yields by treating 2,3-bis(bromomethyl)tetrathiafulvalenes with naked iodide in the presence of C_{60} , can be obtained in much higher yields by successive similar treatments of the major adducts produced at each step. The electrochemical properties of the unprecedented tri- and tetra-TTF/ C_{60} assemblies are also presented. © 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

In the past few years, the design of dyads or polyads resulting from the covalent linking of π -electron donors (D) to C_{60} as the acceptor $(A)^1$ has emerged as a promising approach in search of intramolecular photoinduced electron transfer useful for the realization of new artificial photosynthetic systems, molecular electronic devices and photovoltaic cells.² Among these donors, due to the particular stability of their aromatic cation radicals, tetrathiafulvalenes (TTF) appear as very good candidates since, upon photoexcitation, their assemblies with C_{60} may give rise to long-lived charge separated species $\widetilde{D}^{\bullet+}$ bonded to A $^{\bullet-}$.³ Thus, strategies are currently being developed for the synthesis of TTF/ fullerene based dyads and polyads, through either a

flexible linkage using $1,3$ -dipolar cycloadditions⁴ or a rigid spacer constituted of two methylene groups involving a Diels–Alder reaction of dimethylidene[2*H*]- TTF as diene with C_{60} as dienophile.^{3a,5,6}

Following our previous work related to C_{60} -TTF monoadducts 1 (Scheme 1), $6a,b$ we were interested in synthesizing and isolating new diadducts **2** and unprecedented tri- and tetraadducts **3** and **4** to provide access to three-dimensional functionalized fullerenes presenting several electroactive units. Such polyadducts comprised of several isomers resulting from reaction at the different positions on C_{60} could also present a great potentiality of applications.⁷ Here, we report their straightforward

1 (n=1); 2 (n=2); 3 (n=3); 4 (n=4)

 $e: R-R = -CH_2CH_2$ - $\mathbf{a}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{C} \mathbf{H}_3$ $$ $f : R = (CH_2CH_2O)_3CH_3$ $c: R = (CH_2)_7 - CH_3$ ${\bf g}: R = (CH_2CH_2O)_4CH_3$ $d: R = (CH₂)₁₁ - CH₃$

Scheme 1.

^{*} Corresponding authors. Fax: (33) 2 41 73 54 05; e-mail: pietrick.hudhomme@univ-angers.fr; alain.gorgues@univ-angers.fr † Dedicated to Professor Fred Wudl on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

Scheme 2.

synthesis and present some of their spectroscopic and electrochemical data.

Firstly, our synthetic strategy involves the generation of transient dimethylidene[2*H*]TTF **6**, by naked iodideinduced reduction8 of 2,3-bis(bromomethyl)TTF **5**, 9 which cycloadds in the presence of C_{60} to afford monoadducts **1**, the subsequent cycloaddition of **1** with diene **6** being responsible for the formation of diadducts **2**. Secondly, applying the same methodology by starting from **1** or **2**, we could reach and isolate the desired triadducts **3** and tetraadducts **4** in acceptable yields (Scheme 2).

Many attempts were carried out in order to optimize the yield of Diels–Alder cycloaddition by modifying parameters of dilution, iodide reagent and stoichiometry of compound **5**. ¹⁰ For example, using an excess of diene **6** did not increase the yield of **1**, unreacted C_{60} being always recovered in 30–50% yield. All compounds **1**–**4** were separated by column chromatography, but the isolation of polyadducts appeared to be highly dependent on the chromatographic conditions: firstly, compounds **1**–**4** appeared not to be stable in chlorinated solvents, except in the case where the TTF core is bearing polyethersulfanyl groups (**1**–**4f**,**g**). Secondly, due to the poor solubility of monoadducts **1a**, **1e** and corresponding polyadducts, we used mixture of solvents $(CS_2, CH_2Cl_2$ or toluene) as eluent. Finally, to prevent any protic $oxidation¹¹$ and hence the rapid degradation of some adducts (**1c**, **1d**, **1**–**4f**, and **1**–**3g**), the eluent was alkalized by the classical addition of 1% triethylamine. Polyads **2**–**4** were obtained as a mixture of numerous regioisomers. Whereas the eight isomers of **2b** were analytically separated by HPLC (Figs. 1 and 2),¹² the chromatographic analysis of the high number (around 40 theoretically) of isomers for **3** and **4** has not yet been achieved owing to the complexity of the problem.

The structures of (poly)adducts **1**–**4** are supported by usual spectroscopic analyses.¹³ In particular, adducts **1**–**4** were characterized by mass spectrometry, especially

MALDI-TOF in positive or negative mode, by changing the nature of solvent and matrix.14 Indeed, most of these compounds exhibited the molecular ion peak with the expected isotopic distribution. Moreover, the mass

Figure 1. HPLC separation of regioisomers of **2b**.

Figure 2. Relative yield of isomers of **2b**.

Table 1. Redox potentials E_{app} of $(TTF)_{n}C_{60}^{a}$

				E_{red}^{-1} E_{red}^{-2} E_{red}^{-3} E_{red}^{-4} E_{ox}^{-1}		$E_{\rm ox}{}^2$
3 _b	$-0.98 - 1.35$ 4b -1.16 -	C_{60} -0.61 -1.00 -1.45 -2.08 1b -0.72 -1.09 -1.59 -1.84 2b -0.82 -1.20 -1.83	\sim $-$	\sim $ \sim$ \equiv $\overline{}$	$+0.65$ $+0.70$ $+0.69$ $+0.61$	$+1.09$ $+1.16$ $+1.14$ $+0.84$

^a [Compound] $\sim 10^{-3}$ M in o -C₆H₄Cl₂–n-Bu₄NPF₆ (0.05 M), Pt electrode, $v = 100$ mV s⁻¹, E_{red} and E_{ox} in V versus Ag/AgCl.

spectrum of these (poly)adducts showed the peaks corresponding to the successive retro Diels–Alder fragmentations and/or the well known fragmentation of the TTF central double bond.15

In cyclic voltammetry (Table 1), (poly)adducts **1**–**4** show two reversible oxidation peaks, corresponding to the cation radical and dication states of the TTF moiety, and one to four quasi-reversible reduction peaks, due to the reduction steps of the fullerene moiety. These reduction potentials are shifted to more negative values when compared to those of pristine C_{60} , this corresponding to the classical behavior of fullerene derivatives and the successive saturation of [6,6] double bonds of C_{60} . Furthermore, the ratio between the intensities of the first oxidation of peak TTF and the first reduction peak of C₆₀ was estimated to be $n\pm 10^{-1}$ *n* for **1**–**4**, from experimental and deconvoluted voltammograms (Fig. 3). Since the diffusion coefficient is the same on both these reduction and oxidation waves, this fact confirms that these adducts **1**–**4** are made up of one C_{60} acceptor and *n* TTF donors (Fig. 4).

In conclusion, besides some additional examples of mono and diadducts **1** and **2**, we have prepared in significant yields and partially characterized several unprecedented tri and tetraadducts **3** and **4**.

Figure 4. The fourth reduction wave of **1b** is not presented here.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. D. Amabilino (ICMAB) for help in MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry experiments.

References

- 1. Martín, N.; Sánchez, L.; Illescas, B.; Pérez, I. *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, 98, 2527.
- 2. (a) Williams, R. M.; Zwier, J. M.; Verhoeven, J. W. *J*. *Am*. *Chem*. *Soc*. **1995**, 117, 4093; (b) Williams, R. M.; Koeberg, M.; Lawson, J. M.; An, Y.-Z.; Rubin, Y.; Paddon-Row, M. N.; Verhoeven, J. W. *J*. *Org*. *Chem*. **1996**, 61, 5055; (c) Imahori, H.; Sakata, Y. *Eur*. *J*. *Org*. *Chem*. **1999**, 445; (d) Eckert, J.-F.; Nicoud, J.-F.; Nierengarten, J.-F.; Liu, S.-G.; Echegoyen, L.; Barigelletti, F.; Armaroli, N.; Ouali, L.; Krsnikov, V.; Hadziioannou, G. *J*. *Am*. *Chem*. *Soc*. **2000**, 122, 7467 and references cited therein.
- 3. (a) Llacay, J.; Veciana, J.; Vidal-Gancedo, J.; Bourdelande, J.-L.; Gonza´lez-Moreno, R.; Rovira, C. *J*. *Org*. *Chem.* **1998**, 63, 5201; (b) Martín, N.; Sánchez, L.; Guldi, D. M. *J*. *Phys*. *Chem*. *A* **2000**, 104, 4648; (c) Guldi, D. M. *Chem*. *Commun*. **2000**, 321; (d) Guldi, D. M.; Prato, M. *Acc*. *Chem*. *Res*. **2000**, 33, 695; (e) Mart´ın, N.; Sa´nchez, L.; Guldi, D. M. *Chem*. *Commun*. **2000**, 113.
- 4. (a) Prato, M.; Maggini, M.; Giacometti, C.; Scorrano, G.; Sandona, G.; Farina, G. *Tetrahedron Lett*. **1996**, 52, 5221; (b) Martín, N.; Sánchez, L.; Seoane, C.; Andreu, R.; Gar´ın, J.; Orduna, J. *Tetrahedron Lett*. **1996**, 37, 5979; (c) Martín, N.; Pérez, I.; Sánchez, L.; Seoane, C. *J. Org*. *Chem*. **1997**, 62, 5690; (d) Simonsen, K. B.; Konovalov, V. V.; Konovalova, T. A.; Kawai, T.; Cava, M. P.; Kispert, L. D.; Metzger, R. M.; Becher, J. *J*. *Chem*. *Soc*., *Perkin Trans. 2* 1999, 657; (e) Herranz, M. A.; Martín, N.; Sánchez, L.; Seoane, C.; Guldi, D. M. *J. Organomet*. *Chem.* **2000**, 599, 2; (f) Guldi, D. M.; González, S.; Martín, N.; Antón, A.; Garín, J.; Orduna, J. *J. Org. Chem*. **2000**, 65, 1978.
- 5. Llacay, J.; Mas, M.; Molins, E.; Veciana, J.; Powell, D.; Rovira, C. *Chem*. *Commun*. **1997**, 659.
- 6. (a) Boulle, C.; Rabreau, J.-M.; Hudhomme, P.; Cariou, M.; Jubault, M.; Gorgues, A.; Orduna, J.; Garín, J. *Tetrahedron Lett*. **1997**, 38, 3909; (b) Hudhomme, P.; Boulle, C.; Rabreau, J.-M.; Cariou, M.; Jubault, M.; Gorgues, A. *Synth*. *Met*. **1998**, 94, 73. The latter methodology was fruitfully applied to reach other analogous dyads: (c) Herranz, M. A.; Mart´ın, N. *Org*. *Lett*. **1999**, 1, 2005; (d) Liu, S.-G.; Echegoyen, L. *Eur*. *J*. *Chem*. **2000**, 1157.
- 7. Hirsch, A. *The Chemistry of the Fullerenes*; Georg Thieme: Stuttgart, 1994.
- 8. (a) Belik, P.; Gügel, A.; Spickermann, J.; Müllen, K. *Angew*. *Chem*., *Int*. *Ed*. *Engl*. **1993**, 32, 78; (b) We have applied successfully this methodology in the 2-thioxo-1,3 dithiole series, see: Boulle, C.; Cariou, M.; Bainville, M.; Gorgues, A.; Hudhomme, P.; Orduna, J.; Garín, J. Tet*rahedron Lett*. **1997**, 38, 81.
- 9. Hudhomme, P.; Liu, S.-G.; Kreher, D.; Cariou, M.; Gorgues, A. *Tetrahedron Lett*. **1999**, 40, 2927.

. .

- 10. *Typical procedure for the preparation of* **¹**–**4**: To a wellstirred solution of 2,3-bis(bromomethyl) TTF^9 **5** (0.5) mmol) in dry toluene (100 mL), under a nitrogen atmosphere, were successively added C₆₀ or C₆₀–(D)_{n−1} (1 equiv.), then potassium iodide (3 equiv.) and 18-crown-6 (10 equiv.). After stirring for 36 h at room temperature, the brown solution was filtered off and the soluble part was poured into water (100 mL). The organic layer was washed consecutively with a saturated aqueous solution of sodium thiosulfate $(2\times100 \text{ mL})$ and water $(2\times50 \text{ mL})$, dried (Na_2SO_4) , and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo (without heating). The residue was then purified on a silica gel column, using a CS_2 , CH_2Cl_2 or toluene-based complex eluent.
- 11. (a) Giffard, M.; Alonso, P.; Garín, J.; Gorgues, A.; Nguyen, T. P.; Richomme, P.; Robert, A.; Roncali, J.; Uriel, S. *Adv*. *Mater*. **1994**, 6, 298 and references cited therein; (b) Rathore, R.; Zhu, C.; Lindeman, S. V.; Kochi, J. K. *J*. *Chem*. *Soc*., *Perkin Trans*. ² **2000**, 1837.
- 12. Chromatographic conditions of separation: Shimadzu SCL-10A VP HPLC apparatus; reversed-phase KRO-MASIL 100 analytical column; toluene/CH₃CN $(40/60)$ as eluent; flow rate: 0.5 ml min−¹ ; UV detection at 254 nm.
- 13. All new compounds gave satisfactory spectroscopic data. Example for **1f**: dark brown powder; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 3.07 (t, 2H, S-CH₂-), 3.39 (s, 3H, CH₂-OCH₃), 3.67 (m, 6H, O-CH₂-), 3.72 (t, 2H, SCH₂-CH₂O-), 4.32 (s, 2H, $=$ C-CH₂-); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 35.66 (2C, SCH₂), 41.17 (2C, C=C-CH₂), 59.04 (2C, CO₂CH₃), 66.08 (2C, Csp³) C_{60}), 70.21 (2C, SCH₂-CH₂O), 70.68 and 70.70 (12C, CH₂O), 72.09 (2C, CH₂-OCH₃), 108.13 and 113.84 (2C, C-C ylidene), 128.32 and 128.50 (4C, C-C), 135.82– 147.84 (Csp² C₆₀), 155.30 (2C, Csp²–Csp³ C₆₀); FT-IR (KBr) v: 527, 576, 775, 1023, 1037, 1106, 1201, 1487, 1513, 1601; UV (CH₂Cl₂) λ : 260, 326, 434 and 701 (C₆₀) [6,6] junction); MS *m*/*z* (*I*%) MALDI-TOF (DTN– CH₂Cl₂): 1306 (M^{*+}), 847, 720, 609, 586 ([M-C₆₀]⁺), 556, 459, 294; Cyclic voltammetry $(o-C_6H_4Cl_2-n-Bu_4NPF_6)$, V versus Ag/AgCl: $Epc_1 = -0.71$, $Epc_2 = -1.09$, $Epc_3 =$ -1.61 , $Epa_1 = +0.67$, $Epa_2 = +1.04$.
- 14. Compound **1b**: [M-1]⁻ = 1153 and **2b**: M[•]⁻ = 1588 (9nitroanthracene/toluene); **3b**: $M^{\bullet} = 2022$ (9,10-dimethylanthracene/CH₂Cl₂; **4b**: M^* = 2456 (dithranol/CH₂Cl₂).
- 15. (a) Andersen, J. R.; Egsgaard, H.; Larsen, E.; Bechgaard, K.; Engler, E. M. *Org*. *Mass*. *Spectrom*. **1978**, 13, 121; (b) Andreu, R.; Blesa, M. J.; Garín, J.; López, A.; Ordúna, J.; Saviro´n, M. *J*. *Org*. *Chem*. **1997**, 62, 5642.